

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/661,477	SUGITA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. S. NAKARANI	1773	

All Participants:

(1) D. S. NAKARANI.

(2) Ms. Ruthleen Uy, reg. No. 51,361.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 January 2004

Time: 4:15 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9 and 14


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms. Ruthleen Uy agreed to change dependency of claim 9 from cancelled claim 8 to claim 1 and of claim 14 from cancelled claim 11 to claim 10.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



ACTING
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)